

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF LONG DISTANCE)
TELEPHONE SERVICE, INC. FOR A)
CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE) CASE NO. 9830
AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE RESALE OF)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AND)
OPERATION OF FACILITIES WITHIN)
KENTUCKY)

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Long Distance Telephone Service, Inc. ("LDTS") shall file an original and 8 copies of the following information with the Commission. The information requested herein is due no later than April 15, 1987. If the information cannot be provided by this date, you should submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which it will be furnished. Such motion will be considered by the Commission.

1. U.S. Sprint Communications Corporation ("Sprint") and Allnet Communications Services, Inc., ("Allnet") are both classified as non-dominant facilities-based carriers. Please describe the differences between LDTS and Sprint or Allnet which would warrant a different classification.

2. The application indicates the use of Feature Group "D" access. Describe the procedures used to block intraLATA traffic

when cut through dialing (dialing 10xxx) is used. If this traffic is not blocked, describe the procedures necessary to accomplish blocking.

3. When cut through dialing is used, can the local exchange carrier block intraLATA traffic?

4. In Administrative Case No. 273, by Order dated May 25, 1984, the Commission found that non-dominant carriers could offer a lower quality of service than that set out in 807 KAR 5:061, Sections 19, 20 and 21(5), under the following conditions:

a) the carrier should notify the Commission as to what the standards will be and how they will be determined, and

b) the carrier should notify its customers of the lower quality of service to be offered.

Does the absence of any such notification imply that LDTS intends to fully comply with the service standards contained in 807 KAR 5:061?

5. The tariff filed with the application contains different rates for intraLATA and interLATA service. In the absence of equal access, or any other type of access where Automatic Number Identification is provided, please describe the procedure used for distinguishing between intraLATA and interLATA traffic.

6. In the definition of "Chargeable time" on page 1 of the tariff, the term "connection" is used. Please provide a complete definition of "connection" as used in this context. This definition is important if "Answer Supervision" is not provided.

7. Provide actual cost justification for all non-recurring charges included in the proposed tariff.

8. Provide an explanation of the purpose of the monthly subscription fee, what it covers and how it was determined.

9. Does LDTS plan to participate in equal access presubscription? If so, will the monthly subscription fee continue to apply?

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 27th day of March, 1987.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Richard D. Hermann Jr.
For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director